

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
2 OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
3 David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758)
4 Albert P. Bedecarré (Bar No. 148178)
5 Diane Doolittle (Bar No. 142046)
6 Carl G. Anderson (Bar No. 239927)
7 Emily O'Brien (Bar No. 240072)
8 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
9 San Francisco, California 94111
10 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
11 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
12 Email: davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com
13 albedecarre@quinnemanuel.com
14 dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
15 carlanderson@quinnemanuel.com
16 emilyobrien@quinnemanuel.com

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
18 Siliconix incorporated

19 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
20 Brent Caslin (Bar No. 198682)
21 Nick Saros (Bar No. 209922)
22 777 South Figueroa Street
23 Los Angeles, California 90017
24 Telephone: (213) 680-8400
25 Facsimile: (213) 680-8500
Email: bcaslin@kirkland.com
nsaros@kirkland.com

26 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
27 William A. Streff, Jr. (Ill. Bar No. 2753979)
28 Paul R. Steadman (*pro hac vice*)
200 East Randolph Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6636
Telephone: (312) 861-2000
Facsimile: (312) 861-2200
Email: wstreff@kirkland.com
psteadman@kirkland.com

29 Attorneys for Defendants DENSO
30 Corporation and TD SCAN (U.S.A.), Inc.

31
32
33 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
34
35 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
36
37 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

38
39 SILICONIX INCORPORATED,) CASE NO. C 05-01507 WHA
40 a Delaware corporation,)
41 Plaintiff,)
42 v.)
43 DENSO CORPORATION, a Japanese)
44 corporation, and TD SCAN (U.S.A.), INC.,)
45 a Michigan corporation,)
46 Defendants.)
47
48 AND CONSOLIDATED ACTION)
49 NO. C 05-03617 WHA.)
50

51 Judge: The Honorable William H. Alsup

1 STIPULATION

2 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a) and Civil Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiff
3 Siliconix incorporated (“Siliconix”) on the one hand, and Defendants DENSO CORPORATION
4 (“DENSO”) and TD SCAN (U.S.A.), Inc. (“TD SCAN”) on the other hand, through their
5 respective counsel of record, stipulate as follows:

6 1. All claims in Siliconix’s First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement, filed in
7 Case No. 05-01507 WHA on June 23, 2005, are dismissed with prejudice.

8 2. All counterclaims in DENSO’s Answer to Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint for
9 Patent Infringement, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims, filed in Case No. 05-01507 WHA
10 on August 26, 2005, are dismissed without prejudice.

11 3. All counterclaims in TD SCAN’s Answer to Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint
12 for Patent Infringement, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims, filed in Case No. 05-01507
13 WHA on August 26, 2005, are dismissed without prejudice.

14 4. Siliconix, DENSO and TD SCAN shall bear their own costs and attorneys’ fees in
15 connection with their prosecution and defense of the above-referenced claims and counterclaims.

16
17 DATED: September 13, 2006

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

18

19

By /s/ David Eiseman

20 David Eiseman
21 Attorneys for Plaintiff
Siliconix incorporated

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DATED: September 13, 2006

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP


By /s/ Brent Caslin

Brent Caslin
Attorneys for Defendants
DENSO CORPORATION and TD SCAN
(U.S.A.), Inc.

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 13, 2006



The Honorable William H. Alsup
United States District Court Judge